

An estimate of the number of apparent singularities in the Riemann-Hilbert problem on a compact Riemann surface.

D. V. Artamonov

August 13, 2010

In the paper we give an upper estimate of the number of apparent singularities that are sufficient for construction of a system of regular linear differential equations on a Riemann surface with given fuchsian singularities and monodromy.

Let M be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 0$, let $a_1, \dots, a_n$, $a_i \neq a_j$ be points on this surface. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}; x_0)$ of the surface M with punctures $a_1, \dots, a_n$ is described as follows. It has generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, f_1, h_1, \dots, f_g, h_g$ and one relation: $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n h_1 f_1 h_1^{-1} f_1^{-1} \dots h_g f_g h_g^{-1} f_g^{-1} = 1$. The generators $f_1, h_1, \dots, f_g, h_g$ are represented by the same loops, that give generators of $\pi_1(M; x_0)$, and generators γ_i are represented by loops starting from x_0 than going around the point a_i and than returning to x_0 (but in such a way that these loops are contractible in M).

Let us be given a representation $\chi : \pi_1(M \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}; x_0) \rightarrow GL_p(\mathbb{C})$, that is a collection of matrices $G_1, \dots, G_n, F_1, H_1, \dots, F_g, H_g$, satisfying the relation $G_1 \dots G_n H_1 F_1 H_1^{-1} F_1^{-1} \dots H_g F_g H_g^{-1} F_g^{-1} = E$. Does there exist a system of p linear differential equations on M with fuchsian singularities in $a_1, \dots, a_n$, such that ξ - is its monodromy representation?

This system is determined by a differential form with poles of the first order in $a_1, \dots, a_n$. If $g > 0$, the dimension of the space of such forms is lower than the dimension of the space of representations of fundamental group. So, when $g > 0$, the answer is typically negative.

It turns out, that every representation can be realized as a monodromy representation in a class of regular systems with additional singularities $b_1, \dots, b_N$ with a trivial monodromy corresponding to a bypass around each of them. That means the following. For every representation $\chi : \pi_1(M \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}; x_0) \rightarrow GL_p(\mathbb{C})$ there exists a system of p differential equations with regular singularities $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_N$ with a trivial monodromy corresponding to a bypass around apparent singularities $b_1, \dots, b_N$. A singularity is regular if every solution of a system as a power-like behavior, when we approach to the singularity inside some cone (that is we are not allowed to rotate around the singularity).

Estimates of the number N of additional singularities were given by different authors. In [1] such an estimate was given for the problem of construction of a fuchsian differential equation. But in that article some strong restrictions on the monodromy representation were suggested and the resulting estimate depends not only on p and g , but also on the number of singularities n . In articles [2],[3] the case $p = 2$ was considered. But some additional restrictions on the monodromy representation were made.

Apparent singularities are always regular. In the article [3] in the situation under consideration the orders of the poles of the coefficients of the system in these apparent singularities are estimated (in the given singularities a_i the system has fuchsian singularities). We shall not do this in the present article.

Also it is known, that if $g = 0$, than it is sufficient to add one (or none) additional singularity. That's why we shall suggest that $g > 0$.

We shall prove the following main theorem:

Theorem 1. *Every representation of $\pi_1(M \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}; x_0)$ in $GL_p(\mathbb{C})$ can be realized as a monodromy representation of a regular system with less or equal than $2pg - g + 1$ additional singularities with trivial monodromy corresponding to a bypass around every additional singularity.*

Proof. We are given a representation $\chi : \pi_1(M \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}; x_0) \rightarrow GL_p(\mathbb{C})$. For this representation we construct a holomorphic vector bundle E with a connection ∇ , such that $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are fuchsian singularities of the connection and the monodromy of that connection is χ ([5]).

The first step of the proof is to show the connection between meromorphic trivialization of the bundle E and constructions of a system of differential equations with monodromy χ with some additional singularities.

It is known that on a Riemann sphere every holomorphic vector bundle splits into a direct sum of linear bundles (the Birkhoff-Grothendieck theorem). For Riemann surfaces of higher genus that is not true. Nevertheless, the meromorphic triviality of every bundle takes place. That means the following:

Theorem. ([4]) *For every p -dimensional holomorphic vector bundle there exist meromorphic sections $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ such that they form a bases in stalks over all points of the base except a finite number of points of the base.*

Among the points mentioned in the theorem there are poles of $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ and points $z_1, \dots, z_t$, where all the sections $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ are finite but they are linearly dependent.

A meromorphic section s of a bundle with meromorphic trivialization $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ is uniquely defined by a collection of meromorphic functions $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ by the formula $s = \alpha_1\psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_p\psi_p$.

Now we are going to explain the relation between the meromorphic trivializations for bundles and an estimate of apparent singularities. Given a representation χ , we have constructed a bundle E and a connection ∇ such that the monodromy of ∇ is χ . Let's find some meromorphic trivialization for E .

Proposition 1. *Let (E, ∇) be a vector bundle with a fuchsian connection constructed from singularities $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and a monodromy χ . Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ be meromorphic a trivialization of E . Then there exist a regular system of linear equations with the monodromy χ and a set of additional singularities contained in the following set S : poles of trivializing sections ψ_i , points $z_1, \dots, z_t$, where they are linearly dependent. If $a_i \notin S$, then a_i is a fuchsian singularity for the system.*

Proof. The sections $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ form a bases in stalks of E over points from $M \setminus S$.

A meromorphic section is defined by a vector-function $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$. The condition that this section $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ is horizontal is written as a system of linear differential equations which outside $S \cup \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is nonsingular. The monodromy of that system coincides with the monodromy of the connection. So we get a system with needed monodromy and it's additional singularities contain in S . □

The second step. We formulate our problem in the following way. Given a p -vector bundle E , we must find a meromorphic trivialization with as least as possible number of points that are poles of trivializing sections or points, where these sections are finite, but linearly dependent.

Remark 1. Such an estimate is known, if E is a stable bundle. From the technique of Turin parameters (their definition can be found in [6], see also the original work ([7]) it follows, that for a stable bundle pg additional singularities are enough. But not every representation can be realized as a representation of a connection in a stable (or even in a semistable) bundle (see [5])

Before formulate our plan, we shall prove the following:

Proposition 2. *On a Riemann surface M of genus g for every point $P \in M$ every linear bundle L has a meromorphic section s with the following property. On $M \setminus P$ it has less or equal than g zeroes and no poles. Also $(s) + (-\deg L + g)P \geq 0$, where (s) is a divisor of zeroes and poles of section s .*

The last statement can be reformulated as follows: in P the section s has a pole of order less or equal than $(-\deg L + g)$.

Proof. If we multiply our bundle by the bundle $\xi(P)^k$, we get a bundle $L' = L \otimes \xi(P)^k$ with degree $\deg L' = \deg L + k$. Put $k = -\deg L + g$. By Riemann-Roch theorem we get an inequality $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(L')) \geq (1 - g) + \deg L' = 1 > 0$. So the bundle L' has a holomorphic section s' .

As s' is holomorphic, it has no poles, but it has $\deg L' = g$ zeroes with multiplicities and less or equal than g points where it takes zero values. From this section of the bundle $L' = L \otimes \xi(P)^k$ we construct a section s of the bundle L in the following way. Every section of $L' = L \otimes \xi(P)^k$ has the form $s' = ss_0$, where s is a section of L , and s_0 is a section of $\xi(P)^k$. From this we get that $\frac{s'}{s_0}$ is a section of L . Let's take as s_0 a canonical section of $\xi(P)^k$, which has a zero of order k (or a pole if $k < 0$) in P .

The section s' has less or equal than g zeroes. From explicit formulas we see that on $M \setminus P$ the section s also has less or equal than g zeroes.

The divisor (s) of zeroes and poles of s equals to $(s') - (-\deg L + g)P$. From here and the fact that $(s') \geq 0$, the second statement follows. $\square$

Now let's formulate, what we shall do. Let's fix some point $P \in M$. Using the previous proposition, we shall suggest that the number of zeroes of every trivializing section $\psi_i, i = 1, \dots, p$ is less or equal than g and all these section have probably only a single pole in P .

So the number of poles is minimized and we have to minimize only the number of points. We shall inductively construct new trivializing sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_p$. They will have the following properties.

1. $\langle \psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_k \rangle = \langle \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k \rangle$
2. All sections ψ''_k can have pole only in the point P .
3. The number of points, where all these sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_k$ are finite, but linearly dependent is less or equal than the some number $N(p, g)$, which depends only on p and g .

Also we shall calculate the number $N(p, g)$, it turns out, that it equals to $2pg - g$.

In the third step we shall explain, how we construct $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_p$. We shall construct them by induction by p . Let $\psi''_1 = \psi_1$, so $N(1, g) = g$. Suggest that an estimate for $N(p-1, g)$ is done, let's do it for $N(p, g)$.

Let's consider subbundle $E' \subset E$ formed by sections $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{p-1}$. We have already constructed meromorphic sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}$ of E' , which give meromorphic trivialization, so that the number of points $z_1, \dots, z_m$, where these sections are finite but linearly dependent is less or equal than $N(p-1, g)$. All these sections, may be, have a single pole in P .

If we add to $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}$ the section ψ_p - we get a meromorphic trivialization of E . Where all these sections are linearly dependent? First of all, in points $z_1, \dots, z_m$, where sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}$ are dependent. Then in points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$, where $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}$ are independent, but $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, \psi_p$ are dependant.

Let's take a point $z_i, i > m$. As sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}$ are independent, than as a bases holomorphic sections in a neighborhood of this point we can take sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, v^i$, where v^i is some holomorphic section, defined in a neighborhood of z_i .

In that neighborhood we have equality $\psi_p = \alpha_1^i \psi''_1 + \dots + \alpha_{p-1}^i \psi''_{p-1} + \alpha_p^i v^i$ for some holomorphic functions $\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_p^i$, defined in a neighborhood of z_i .

As sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, \psi_p$ are linearly independent in z_i , than in that point α_p^i has a zero with multiplicity $d_i > 0$, that is $\alpha_p^i = z^{d_i} \alpha_p'^i$, where z is a local coordinate in a neighborhood of z_i , such that $z(z_i) = 0$. Here $\alpha_p'^i(z_i) \neq 0$.

Let prove:

Lemma 1. *There exist meromorphic functions $\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\alpha}_{p-1}$ on M , such that they have a single pole in P and for every $i = m+1, \dots, t$, except less or equal than g indices, in z_i the function $\widetilde{\alpha}_j - \alpha_j^i$, $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ has a zero of order at least $d_i + 1$.*

In other words in these points the first $d_i + 1$ members of a Teilor series of a global function $\widetilde{\alpha}_j$ and of a local function α_j^i must coincide.

Defenition 2. Those points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$, in which the function $\widetilde{\alpha}_j - \alpha_j^i$ has zero of needed order will be called unexceptional.

So there are less or equal than g exceptional points.

Proof. Let f be a function which has probably a pole in P and zeroes of the first order in points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$, except less or equal than g points. It is constructed as follows: we take a meromorphic section s of a bundle $\xi(z_{m+1})^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi^{-1}(z_t)$, which has, maybe, a single pole in P and less or equal than g zeroes. This bundle also has a canonical section s^0 with first order poles in points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$ and no zeroes. Than $f = \frac{s}{s^0}$ is a meromorphic function.

If z_i is not a zero of s , than in z_i the function f has a zero of the first order. If in z_i the section s has zero, than f has in this point a zero of a higher order. This point is exceptional, the number of such points is less or equal than the number of zeroes of s , which is less or equal than g . The pole of f is placed at the point P .

Let's now construct functions $f_{m+1}, \dots, f_t$, which have poles in P , and such that f_i takes nonzero value in z_i and zero values in other points $z_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_t$.

To construct such functions we take a bundle $\xi(z_{t+1})^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi^{-1}(\widehat{z}_i) \otimes \dots \otimes \xi^{-1}(z_t)$. It has a section s with a pole in P . Also it has a canonical section s^0 with poles of the first order in $z_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_t$ and no zeroes. Let's define a meromorphic function $\widetilde{f}_i = \frac{s}{s^0}$. That function has a single pole in P and zeroes in $z_{t+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_m$. If it turns out that $\widetilde{f}_i(z_i) \neq 0$, than we put $\widetilde{f}_i = f_i$.

If $\widetilde{f}_i(z_i) = 0$, than we do the following. Let the order of zero of a function $\widetilde{f}_i$ in z_i be equal to k . There exists a meromorphic function h , which has a single pole in z_i and it's order equals to r . Put $f_i = (\widetilde{f}_i)^r h^k$. This function takes nonzero value in z_i , and has a single pole in P , where $\widetilde{f}_i$ takes zero values in points $z_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_t$, where $\widetilde{f}_i$ has zeroes.

Let d be $\max_i\{d_i + 1\}$, denote as g_i the function f_i^d . This function takes nonzero value in z_i and has zeroes in $z_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_t$ of orders at least d . The poles of these functions are in the point P .

Now we are ready to construct $\widetilde{\alpha}_j$. For unexceptional point z_i we have a function g_i , which takes nonzero value in z_i , and a function $f g_i$, which has a zero of the first order in z_i . In other points $z_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_t$ (in exceptional also) it has zeroes of orders not less then d . There exist a polynomial without constant term p_j^i , such that $p_j^i(f g_i, g_i)$ and α_j^i have the same first $d_i + 1$ members of Teilor series in point z_i . As in other points $z_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_t$ all functions $g_i, f g_i$ have

zeroes of order at least $d = \max\{d_i + 1\}$, than the function $p_j^i(fg_i, g_i)$ has zero first d members of Teilor series in these points.

Thus $\widetilde{\alpha}_j = \sum_i p_j^i(fg_i, g_i)$, where the summation is taken over all unexceptional points, is a needed function. $\square$

Let's return to the number $N(p, g)$. First of all, instead of ψ_p we take a section $\psi'_p = \psi_p - \widetilde{\alpha}_1 \psi''_1 - \dots - \widetilde{\alpha}_{p-1} \psi''_{p-1}$. All the functions $\widetilde{\alpha}_i$ and sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, \psi_p$ can have a pole only in P . That is why ψ'_p has, maybe, a pole only in this point P .

As the transformation $\psi_p \mapsto \psi'_p$ is invertible everywhere except P , that in the points where sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, \psi_p$ are independent, the sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, \psi'_p$ are also independent. So $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}, \psi'_p$ are dependent only in points $z_1, \dots, z_t$.

By induction, we have minimized the number of points, where $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_{p-1}$ are dependent and we have estimated it by a number $N(p-1, g)$. In the neighborhood of a point $z_i \in \{z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t\}$ there were equalities $\psi_p = \alpha_1^i \psi''_1 + \dots + \alpha_{p-1}^i \psi''_{p-1} + \alpha_p^i v^i$. They give equalities $\psi'_p = (\alpha_1^i - \widetilde{\alpha}_1) \psi''_1 + \dots + (\alpha_{p-1}^i - \widetilde{\alpha}_{p-1}) \psi''_{p-1} + \alpha_p^i v^i$. Or $\psi'_p = \beta_1^i \psi''_1 + \dots + \beta_{p-1}^i \psi''_{p-1} + \beta_p^i v^i$, where $\beta_j^i = \alpha_j^i - \widetilde{\alpha}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, p-1$, $\beta_p^i = \alpha_p^i$.

By lemma 1 we have the following: in unexceptional point z_i the function β_p^i has zero of order d_i , and other functions β_j^i , where $j < p$ have zeroes in z_i of higher order.

Proposition 3. *There exist a meromorphic function h , such that it has poles only in all, but q' , unexceptional points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$ with orders $d_{m+1}, \dots, d_t$, maybe one additional pole in P , and q'' zeroes on M with punctured unexceptional points z_i . Here the number q', q'' are such that $q' + q'' \leq g$.*

Proof. Take a bundle $F = \xi(z_{m+1})^{d_{m+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi(z_t)^{d_t}$. It has a meromorphic section s with a single pole in P and less or equal than g zeroes. Also it has a canonical section s^0 with zeroes in unexceptional points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$ of orders $d_{m+1}, \dots, d_t$. Then $h = \frac{s}{s^0}$ is a meromorphic function. The point P is its pole. If an unexceptional point z_i isn't a zero of s , than this function has in z_i a pole of order exactly d_i . If an unexceptional point z_i is a zero of s , than h has a pole of lower order (or even a zero). The number of such points we denote as q' .

Let q'' be a number of zeroes of s on M with punctured unexceptional points z_i . As $q' + q''$ is a number of zeroes of s , which is less or equal than g , we get $q' + q'' \leq g$. $\square$

Put $\psi''_p = h\psi'_p$. Of course $\langle \psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_p \rangle = \langle \psi_1, \dots, \psi_p \rangle$ and ψ''_p can have a pole only in P .

In the last step we shall calculate the number of points where the sections $\psi''_1, \dots, \psi''_p$ are linearly dependant.

In some neighborhoods of points z_i , $i = m+1, \dots, t$ the equalities $\psi''_p = (h\beta_1^i) \psi''_1 + \dots + (h\beta_{p-1}^i) \psi''_{p-1} + (h\beta_p^i) v^i$ take place. All the functions $(h\beta_j^i)$ are holomorphic in neighborhoods of unexceptional points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$. That's why the section ψ''_p is holomorphic in these points and can have a pole only in P . If

an unexceptional point z_i is such that h has a pole of order exactly d_i , then the function $(h\beta_p^i)$ takes nonzero value in z_i . That means that in a neighborhood of such a point z_i we can reconstruct v^i from $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', \psi_p''$. As in stalks over points from a neighborhood of z_i the sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', v^i$ form a bases, then the sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', \psi_p''$ also form a bases in stalks over points from some neighborhood of such z_i . So in unexceptional points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$, where the function h has a pole of needed orders, the sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', \psi_p''$ are independent.

Let's look now, where the sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', \psi_p''$ are dependent. They are dependent in points $z_1, \dots, z_m$, where the sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}''$ are dependent. The number of such points is less or equal then $N(p-1, g)$. They can be dependent in exceptional points $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$, the number of exceptional points is less or equal than g . They can be dependent in those q' unexceptional points from $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_t$, where the function h doesn't has poles of needed orders. These are all points from $z_1, \dots, z_t$, where the sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', \psi_p''$ are dependent.

Let's look, what happens on $M \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$. There is a pole of all functions and sections P , and not more than q'' zeroes of h . If a point is not a zero of h , than sections $\psi_1'', \dots, \psi_{p-1}'', \psi_p''$ are independent. The number of such points was denoted as q'' .

So, finally we get that $N(p, g) \leq N(p-1, g) + g + q' + q'' \leq N(p-1, g) + 2g$. We know that $N(1, g) = g$, so the result is $N(p, g) \leq 2pg - g$.

If we add a pole P , we get that the number of apparent singularities can be made less or equal than $2pg - g + 1$.

Thus, we have a meromorphic trivialization, such that the set S of poles of trivializing sections and points, where they are finite but linearly dependent, consists of less or equal than $2pg - g + 1$ points. According to the proposition 1 we construct a system, the points of S will be the additional singularities. And if $a_i \notin S$, than a_i will be a fuchsian singularity.

The main theorem is proved. □

Remark 3. If we put $p = 2$, than we get an estimate $3pg + 1$ and in [3] the estimate is $3pg - 1$, but we in [3] it is suggested, that the representation is irreducible.

Remark 4. As it can be seen from the first step (see proposition 1 and the proof of the proposition 2), the solutions of the resulting system have power-like singularities in the additional singularities. So, these additional points are regular singular points.

I'd like to thank R.R Gontsov and I.V. Vyugin for useful discussions.

References

- [1] M. Ohtsuki, On the number of apparent singularities of a linier differential equation, Tokyo J. Math. 1982, v. 5 N 1, p. 23-29.

- [2] M. Yoshida, On the number of apparent singularities of the Riemann-Hilbert problem on Riemann surface, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 1997, v. 49, N 1, p. 145-159.
- [3] A.A. Bolibruch, The Riemann-Hilbert problem on a compact Riemann surface. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 2002, 238, 47-60
- [4] O. Forster, Lectures on Riemann surfaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [5] P. Deligne, Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163.
- [6] I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov, Holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces and the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. I, Functional Analysis and Its Applications, 1978, 12:4, 276-286
- [7] A.N. Tyurin, Classification of vector bundles over an algebraic curve of arbitrary genus. (English. Russian original) [J] Am. Math. Soc., Translat., II. Ser. 63, 245-279 (1967); translation from Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 29, 657-688 (1965). ISSN 0065-9290